



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/072,540

02/08/2002

James Arthur Hoffmann

X-11368A

4243

25885 7590 11/18/2008

ELI LILLY & COMPANY

PATENT DIVISION

P.O. BOX 6288

INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46206-6288

EXAMINER

WEDDINGTON, KEVIN E

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1614

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/18/2008

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@lilly.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/072,540	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HOFFMANN, JAMES ARTHUR	
	<b>Examiner</b> Kevin E. Weddington	<b>Art Unit</b> 1614	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kevin E. Weddington. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Alejandro Martinez. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 05 November 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: The claims in general.

Identification of prior art discussed: NONE.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The attorney of record, Mr. Martinez, was called on November 5, 2008 to inquire about filing a response to the outstanding Office action dated April 28, 2008. As of November 7, 2008; no reply from Mr. Martinez was received; therefore, the present application is now considered to be abandoned.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Kevin E. Weddington/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1614	
--	--